



Check for updates

Blood 142 (2023) 2119-2122

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

705.CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPIES: LATE PHASE AND COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE THERAPIES

Impact of Prior Response to Blinatumomab on Outcomes of Brexucabtagene Autoleucel (Brexu-cel) in Adult Patients with Relapsed or Refractory (r/r) B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (B-ALL): Results from the Real-World Outcomes Collaborative of CAR-T in Adult ALL (ROCCA)

Vishal K Gupta, MD¹, Gregory W Roloff, MD², Lori S. Muffly, MD³, Ibrahim Aldoss, MD⁴, Noam E. Kopmar, MD⁵, Chenyu Lin, MD⁶, Simone E. Dekker, MD PhD⁷, Nikeshan Jeyakumar, MD³, Timothy E O'Connor, MD⁸, Amy Zhang, PhD⁹, Katharine Miller, PhD MPH9, Kaitlyn C Dykes, MD10, Mohamed Ahmed11, Danielle Bradshaw, MD12,13,13 Santiago Mercadal, MD¹⁴, Marc Schwartz, MD¹⁵, Sean Tracy, MDPhD¹⁶, Bhagirathbhai Dholaria, MBBS¹⁷, Michal Kubiak, MD¹³, Akash Mukherjee, MD¹⁸, Navneet Majhail, MD¹⁹, Minoo Battiwalla, MD¹⁹, Luke Mountjoy, DO²⁰, Shabaz Malik, MD²¹, John Mathews, MD²², Paul Shaughnessy, MD²³, Aaron C. Logan, MD PhD²⁴, Abdullah Ladha, MD²⁵, George Yaghmour, MD^{26,27}, Anjali S. Advani, MD²⁸, Maryann Stefan²⁸, Caitlin Guzowski, MBA²⁹, Rasmus T. Hoeg, MD³⁰, Talal Hilal, MD³¹, Jozal Moore, MD³², Kristen M. O'Dwyer, MD³², Stephanie B. Tsai, MD MS⁸, Joshua Sasine, MD³³, Catherine J. Lee, MD³⁴, Vamsi K. Kota, MD¹³, Divya Koura, MD¹⁰, Muthu Veeraputhiran, MD¹⁸, Betsy Blunk³⁵, Jessica T. Leonard, MD³⁶, Wendy Stock, MD³⁷, Ahmed Galal, MD⁶, Vinod Pullarkat, MD³⁸, Ryan D Cassaday, MD⁵, Bijal D. Shah, MD³⁹, Rawan Faramand, MD⁴⁰, Caspian H. Oliai, MD⁴¹

¹ University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

²University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

³ Division of Blood and Marrow Transplantation & Cellular Therapy, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA

⁴City of Hope, Duarte, CA

⁵University of Washington, Seattle, WA

⁶ Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC

⁷ Department of Hematology & Medical Oncology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

⁸Loyola University, Maywood, IL

⁹ Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

¹⁰University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA

¹¹Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

¹²Georgia Cancer Center, Augusta University Medical Center, Augusta, GA

¹³ Augusta University, Augusta, GA

¹⁴University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

¹⁵ Division of Hematology, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

¹⁶University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

¹⁷ Division of Hematology/Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

¹⁸University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR

¹⁹Sarah Cannon Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program at TriStar Centennial Medical Center, Nashville, TN

²⁰Colorado Blood Cancer Institute and Sarah Cannon Cancer Institute at Presbyterian/St Luke's Medical Center, Denver,

²¹ Sarah Cannon Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program at St. David's South Austin Medical Center, Austin, TX

²²Sarah Cannon and Texas Oncology Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program at Medical City Dallas, Dallas, TX

²³ Sarah Cannon Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program at Methodist Hospital, San Antonio, TX

²⁴ Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

²⁵University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

²⁶Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

²⁷ Univeristy of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

²⁸ Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH

²⁹ Northside Hospital Cancer Institute, Atlanta, GA

POSTER ABSTRACTS Session 705

³⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cellular Therapy, Bone Marrow Transplantation and Malignant Hematology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA

- ³¹ Mayo Člinic, Phoenix, AZ
- ³²University of Rochester, Rochester, NY
- ³³Cedars-Sinai, Los Angeles, CA
- ³⁴ Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA
- ³⁵ Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, TN
- ³⁶Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR
- ³⁷University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL
- ³⁸Hematology/HCT, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA
- ³⁹ Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL
- ⁴⁰Department of Blood and Marrow Transplantation and Cellular Immunotherapy, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL
- ⁴¹Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

Introduction: Brexucabtagene autoleucel (brexu-cel) is an autologous anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy approved for adults with relapsed or refractory (r/r) B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL). Blinatumomab is a CD19-directed bispecific T-cell engager that is also approved for r/r B-ALL, and often used as early salvage therapy. Data in the pediatric/AYA population suggest that patients who did not respond to blinatumomab may have inferior outcomes to CD19-directed CAR-T products compared to those who have achieved a response to blinatumomab or were blinatumomabnaïve (Myers et al. J Clin Oncol 2022). In this study, we evaluate the response to blinatumomab and subsequent response to brexu-cel in adults with r/r B-ALL.

Methods: Retrospective data were collected from 25 centers across the U.S. as part of the real-world outcomes collaborative study of CAR-T in B-ALL (ROCCA). Consecutive patients treated with brexu-cel from 2021 to 2023 were categorized by blinatumomab exposure status. Those exposed were separated into "blinatumomab responders" (B-R), defined as patients achieving a CR/CRi in response to any number of cycles of blinatumomab, and "non-responders" (B-NR). Each cohort was compared for outcomes of interest. The primary outcome was CR/CRi rate at day 28 following brexu-cel administration. Secondary outcomes were duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS). Survival outcomes were calculated from day of brexu-cel infusion and were not censored for allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation or maintenance therapy. All living patients were censored at data cutoff on June 30, 2023. Survival comparisons were made by log rank test.

Results: Among 152 patients who received brexu-cel, the median follow-up time was 8.4 months. Eighty-eight (57%) of 152 r/r B-ALL brexu-cel recipients had received blinatumomab prior to apheresis. The median number of pre-apheresis blinatumomab cycles was 2 (range 1-12). The baseline characteristics of B-R, B-NR, and blinatumomab-naïve (B-NV) patients were similar, including pre-apheresis disease burden and receipt of maintenance therapy following brexu-cel infusion (Table 1). Seventy percent (N= 62) of the blinatumomab-exposed patients were B-R, while 30% (N=26) were B-NR. Rates of CR/CRi at day 28 following brexu-cel infusion were similar between B-R, B-NR, and B-NV patients (79% vs 84% vs 78%, respectively). Most of these remissions were negative for measurable residual disease (MRD), with similar MRD negativity rates in the three groups (table 2). 1-year DOR and PFS were significantly higher in the B-NV group compared to B-R or B-NR (77% vs 49% vs 50%, p<.0001; 60% vs 37% vs 30%, p<.0001). B-NV and B-R had better 1-year survival compared to B-NR (71% vs 65% vs 32%, p<.0001). There were more CD19-negative relapses following brexu-cel in B-NR (29%) and B-R (18%) compared to B-NV patients (8%), although this was not statistically significant.

Conclusions: Brexu-cel induced deep responses in a majority of adults with r/r B-ALL, irrespective of prior exposure or response to blinatumomab. Similar to data published by the pediatric CAR-T cell groups, our data draw speculation that adult patients who did not respond to blinatumomab experience shorter overall survival following brexu-cel compared to those who responded to or did not receive blinatumomab. This should be confirmed in a larger, prospective clinical trial. Because this analysis could not account for patients who achieved durable remission after blinatumomab, these differences may not reflect superiority of sequencing brexu-cel before blinatumomab. The higher number of CD19-negative relapses in the blinatumomab-exposed cohort add to the interest in pursuing strategies to address antigen escape following CAR-T cell therapy directed against a previously targeted antigen.

Disclosures Muffly: adaptive: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; bms: Research Funding; amgen: Consultancy; orca bio: Research Funding; pfizer: Consultancy; autolus: Consultancy; astellas: Consultancy, Research Funding; jasper: Research Funding; kite: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding. **Aldoss:** Pfizer: Consultancy; Amgen: Consultancy, Honoraria; Takeda: Consultancy; Sobi: Consultancy; KiTE: Consultancy; Jazz: Consultancy. **Lin:** Biomarin: Current equity holder in publicly-traded company; Rigel Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy. **Schwartz:** Novartis: Consultancy; Jazz Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy. **Dholaria:** Allovir: Research Funding; Lumanity: Consultancy; Angiocrine: Research Funding; Arivan: Consultancy; ADC therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Gilead: Research Funding; Atara: Research Funding; NCI: Research Funding; Adicet: Research Funding; Wugen: Research Funding; Takeda: Research Funding; Poseida: Research Funding; Molecular Templates: Research Funding; MEI: Research Funding; Pfizer: Research Funding; BEAM therapeutics: Consultancy; gamida cel: Consultancy; Janssen: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; BMS: Research Funding; Orca Bio: Research Funding; Poseida: Research Funding; Pluri

POSTER ABSTRACTS Session 705

Biotech: Consultancy; AstraZeneca: Research Funding. Battiwalla: Fate Therapeutics: Research Funding; Novartis: Research Funding. Shaughnessy: BMS: Speakers Bureau; Sanofi: Speakers Bureau. Logan: Amgen, Autolus Therapeutics, Kadmon, Kite, Pharmacyclics, Talaris: Research Funding; AbbVie, Amgen, Actinium, BMS, Pfizer, Sanofi, Takeda: Consultancy. Advani: Seattle Genetics: Research Funding; Jazz: Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Macrogenics: Research Funding; Servier: Research Funding; Taiho: Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Beam: Honoraria; Kura: Honoraria; OBI: Research Funding; Pfizer: Honoraria, Research Funding; Novartis: Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Immunogen: Research Funding; Kite: Honoraria, Other: consulting, Research Funding; Glycomimetics: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; Nkarta: Honoraria; Incyte: Research Funding; Amgen: Honoraria, Other: advisory board, Research Funding. Hoeg: Orca Bio: Research Funding. Tsai: Bristol Myers Squibb: Speakers Bureau; Jazz Pharmaceutical: Speakers Bureau. Lee: BMS: Honoraria; Kadmon: Honoraria; Fresenius Kabi: Consultancy; Sanofi: Consultancy, Honoraria; Kite Pharma: Honoraria, Speakers Bureau; Incyte Corp: Consultancy, Research Funding. Kota: Novartis: Honoraria; Pfizer: Honoraria; Kite: Honoraria; Incyte: Research Funding. Koura: BMS: Consultancy, Research Funding. Leonard: Pfizer: Consultancy; Kite/Gilead: Consultancy; Takeda: Consultancy; Adaptive Biotechnologies: Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Other: Travel, accommodations, expenses. **Stock:** Amgen: Honoraria; Kite: Consultancy; Kura: Research Funding; Servier: Other: Data Safety Monitoring Board/Advisory Board; Newave: Honoraria; Jazz Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy, Honoraria; Glaxo Smith Kline: Consultancy. Pullarkat: Pfizer: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; Amgen: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; Jazz Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; Novartis: Consultancy, Speakers ers Bureau; Servier: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; Genentech: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; AbbVie: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau. Cassaday: Kite/Gilead: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; Amgen: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; Pfizer: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; Merck: Research Funding; Servier: Research Funding; Vanda Pharmaceuticals: Research Funding; Incyte: Research Funding; Jazz: Consultancy, Honoraria; Autolus: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; PeproMene Bio: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Seagen: Other: Spouse was employed by and owned stock in Seagen within the last 24 months.. Shah: Moffitt Cancer Center: Current Employment; Incyte, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Kite/Gilead, SERVIER: Research Funding; Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Spectrum/Acrotech, BeiGene, Gilead Sciences: Honoraria; Celgene, Novartis, Pfizer, Janssen, Seattle Genetics, AstraZeneca, Stemline Therapeutics, Kite/Gilead: Other: Travel, Accommodations, Expenses; DSMC, Pepromene Bio: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Takeda, AstraZeneca, Adaptive Biotechnologies, BMS/Celgene, Novartis, Pfizer, Amgen, Precision Biosciences, Kite/Gilead, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Century Therapeutics, Deciphera, Autolus Therapeutics, Lilly, Pepromene: Consultancy. Faramand: Kite: Research Funding; Gilead: Research Funding. Oliai: Pfizer: Research Funding; Jazz Pharmaceuticals: Research Funding; Arog: Research Funding; Novartis: Research Funding; Seagen: Research Funding; Orca Bio: Research Funding.

Table 1. Baseline cohort description stratified by blinatumomab exposure and response

	Blinatumomab- exposed; responder N = 62		Blinatumomab- exposed; non- responder N = 26		Blinatumomab- naïve N = 64	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Age at CAR T-cell infusion, median						
(IQR) (n = 151)	51 (37, 62)		40 (29, 62)		40 (28, 60)	
Sex				1500010		
Female	31	50	8	31	26	41
Male	31	50	18	69	38	59
Race/ethnicity	300.00	472	100	31000		200000
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2	3	0	0	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	3	4	15	4	6
Black	3	5	3	12	3	5
Hispanic	23	37	7	27	22	34
Mixed	0	0	0	0	1	2
Non-Hispanic White	32	52	12	46	33	52
Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	2
Leukemia type						
Ph+ ALL	21	34	5	19	21	33
Ph- ALL	30	48	12	46	31	48
Ph-like ALL	10	16	8	31	11	17
Mixed phenotype acute leukemia	1 1	2	1	4	ï	2
Number of lines of therapy, median						- 4
(IOR)	4 (3, 5)		4 (3, 5)		3 (2, 4)	
Pre-apheresis disease burden	4(3,3)		4(3, 3)		3 (2, 4)	
Active disease at time of apheresis	25	40	16	62	38	59
CR with MRD+	21	34	3	12	11	17
CR with MRD-	9	15	3	12	10	16
CR with MRD- CR with unknown MRD	2	3	0	0	10	2
Unknown Unknown	5	8	4	15	4	6
	62	100	26	100	0	0
Blin pre-apheresis					0 0	
# cycles of blin, median (min, max)* Best response to blin pre-apheresis	2 (1, 12)		1 (1, 4)			
	100		26	100	9.5	
No response/active disease	19	31	26	100	- 13	
Complete remission with MRD+		63	0	0	-	
Complete remission with MRD-	39	63	0	0		-
Complete remission achieved by	1 2	19	· ·			
morphology without MRD testing	3	5	0	0		-
Received maintenance *Cumulative across all subsequent lines of	19	31	8	31	17	27

	Blinatumomab- exposed; responder N = 62		Blinatumomab- exposed; non- responder N = 26		Blinatumomab- naïve N = 64		p-value
	n	%	n	%	n	%	50000000
Day 28 post CAR T-cell							0.88
therapy response							0.00
No response	6	10	1	4	6	9	
CR with MRD+	8	13	5	19	5	8	
CR with MRD-	40	65	16	62	43	67	
CR with unknown MRD	1	2	1	4	2	3	
Unknown	7	11	3	12	8	13	
CD19 negative relapse (n =		70,000					0.05
94)	1				1		0.05
Yes	8	18	4	29	3	8	
No	32	73	6	43	30	83	
Unknown	4	9	4	29	3	8	
Death in remission	10	16	4	15	8	13	0.82
1-year duration of response (% survived)	49		50		77		<.0001
1-year progression-free survival (% survived)	37		30		60		<.0001
1-year overall survival (% survived)		55		32		71	<.0001

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-182915